| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|---|
| | 10/004,580 | SONMEZ ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | DANIEL G. MARIAM | 2625 |
| l Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>DANIEL G. MARIAM</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Kin-Wah Tong</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>15 August 2005</u> | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: | cant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 102 | | |
| Claims discussed: Independent claims 1, 28-30, and newly added claim 37 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: Sundaresan, et al. | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Discussed issues pertinent to the above-identified claims (See | | |
| Part III. | | • |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa | he examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the | ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| DANIEL MIRIAM PRIMARY EXAMINER | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |